

# Discussion 1C

CS 70, Summer 2024

This content is protected and may not be shared, uploaded, or distributed.

## 1 The Triangle Inequality

(a) **Base case.** By the triangle inequality,  $|x_1 + x_2| \leq |x_1| + |x_2|$ .

(b) **Induction hypothesis.** For some  $n \geq 2$ , suppose that

$$|x_1 + \dots + x_n| \leq |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$$

holds.

(c) **Induction step.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1}| &= |(x_1 + \dots + x_n) + x_{n+1}| \\ &\leq |x_1 + \dots + x_n| + |x_{n+1}| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\ &\leq |x_1| + \dots + |x_n| + |x_{n+1}|. && \text{(induction hypothesis)} \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Binary Numbers

By strong induction on  $n$ .

**Base case.**  $n = 1 = 1 \cdot 2^0$ .

**Induction case.**

**Induction hypothesis.** Suppose that for all  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , we can write  $k$  in binary.

**Induction step.** Consider  $n + 1$ . We consider the two cases where  $n + 1$  is even and  $n + 1$  is odd.

(1)  $n + 1$  is even. Then  $(n + 1)/2 \leq n$  is an integer. By the induction hypothesis, we can write  $(n + 1)/2$  in binary. That is, there exist  $b_0, \dots, b_k \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$(n + 1)/2 = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)/2 &= b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0 \\ n + 1 &= 2(b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0) \\ &= b_k \cdot 2^{k+1} + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^k + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^2 + b_0 \cdot 2^1 \\ &= c_{k+1} \cdot 2^{k+1} + c_k \cdot 2^k + \dots + c_2 \cdot 2^2 + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_j = b_{j-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$  and  $c_0 = 0$ .

(2)  $n + 1$  is odd. Then  $n$  is even and by the induction hypothesis, there exist  $b_0, \dots, b_k \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$n = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0.$$

We claim that  $b_0 = 0$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0 \\ &= 2(b_k \cdot 2^{k-1} + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^0) + b_0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $b_0 = 1$ , then  $n$  is of the form  $2\ell + 1$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and is therefore odd. This is a contradiction, so it must be that  $b_0 = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n &= b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0 \\ n + 1 &= b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0 \\ &= c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_j = b_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $c_0 = 1$ .

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have shown the claim.

### 3 Stones

- (a) When  $n = 1$  or  $n = 4$ , Beomgyu, the next player, wins. When  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$ , Charlize, the current player wins.
- (b) We conjecture that when  $n = 3k + 1$ , Beomgyu, the next player, wins, and that when  $n = 3k + 2$  or  $n = 3k + 3$ , Charlize, the current player, wins.
- (c) We will prove the stronger claim that when  $n = 3k + 2$  or  $n = 3k + 3$ , the current player wins, and that when  $n = 3k + 1$ , the next player wins. We use strong induction.

**Base case.**  $n = 1$ . By part (a), the next player, Beomgyu, wins.

**Induction case.**

**Induction hypothesis.** Suppose that for each  $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the claim is true for a pile with  $m$  stones.

**Induction step.** Consider a pile with  $n + 1$  stones. There are three cases. Either  $n + 1 = 3k + 1$ ,  $n + 1 = 3k + 2$ , or  $n + 1 = 3k + 3$ .

- (1)  $n + 1 = 3k + 1$ . If the current player removes one stone from the pile, there will remain  $n = 3k = 3(k - 1) + 3$  stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent wins.

If the current player removes two stones from the pile, there will remain  $n = 3k - 1 = 3(k - 1) + 2$  stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent wins.

No matter what the current player does, their opponent wins. So the next player wins.

- (2)  $n + 1 = 3k + 2$ . Then the current player can remove one stone from the pile to get a pile with  $3k + 1$  stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent's next player (who is the current player) wins.
- (3)  $n + 1 = 3k + 3$ . Then the current player can remove two stones from the pile to get a pile with  $3k + 1$  stones. By the previous case, the current player wins.

In each case, the claim holds, so it holds in general.

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have proved our conjecture.

### 4 Make It Stronger

- (a) We attempt a proof by induction.

**Base case.** For  $n = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 1 \leq 9 = 3^{(2^1)}$ .

**Induction case.**

**Induction hypothesis.** For some  $n \geq 1$ , suppose that  $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n)}$ .

**Induction step.** Consider  $a_{n+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= 3a_n^2 \\ &\leq 3 \left( 3^{(2^n)} \right)^2 && \text{(induction hypothesis)} \\ &= 3 \left( 3^{(2 \cdot 2^n)} \right) \\ &= 3 \left( 3^{(2^{n+1})} \right) \\ &= 3^{(2^{n+1}+1)} \\ &\leq 3^{(2^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) By induction.

**Base case.** For  $n = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 1 \leq 9 = 3^{(2^1)}$ .

**Induction case.**

**Induction hypothesis.** For some  $n \geq 1$ , suppose that  $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n-1)}$ .

**Induction step.** Consider  $a_{n+1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= 3a_n^2 \\ &\leq 3 \left( 3^{(2^n-1)} \right)^2 && \text{(induction hypothesis)} \\ &= 3 \left( 3^{(2 \cdot 2^n - 2)} \right) \\ &= 3 \left( 3^{(2^{n+1} - 2)} \right) \\ &= 3^{(2^{n+1} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have shown that for every natural number  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n-1)}$ .

(c) For every  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $2^n - 1 \leq 2^n$  and so  $3^{(2^n-1)} \leq 3^{(2^n)}$ .

By part (b),  $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n-1)} \leq 3^{(2^n)}$ , which is the claim we wanted to show in (a).