1 Extended Euclid

In this problem we will consider the extended Euclid’s algorithm. The bolded numbers below keep track of which numbers appeared as inputs to the gcd call. Remember that we are interested in writing the GCD as a linear combination of the original inputs, so we don’t want to accidentally simplify the expressions and eliminate the inputs.

(a) Note that $x \mod y$, by definition, is always $x$ minus a multiple of $y$. So, in the execution of Euclid’s algorithm, each newly introduced value can always be expressed as a "combination" of the previous two, like so:

\[
\begin{align*}
gcd(54, 17) &= gcd(17, 3) \\
&= gcd(3, 2) \\
&= gcd(2, 1) \\
&= gcd(1, 0) \\
&= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(Fill in the blanks)

(b) Recall that our goal is to fill out the blanks in

\[1 = \_\_\_ \times 54 + \_\_\_ \times 17.\]

To do so, we work back up from the bottom, and express the gcd above as a combination of the two arguments on each of the previous lines:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= \_\_\_ \times 3 + \_\_\_ \times 2 \\
&= \_\_\_ \times 17 + \_\_\_ \times 3 \\
&= \_\_\_ \times 54 + \_\_\_ \times 17
\end{align*}
\]

(c) In the same way as just illustrated in the previous two parts, calculate the gcd of 17 and 39, and determine how to express this as a "combination" of 17 and 39.
(d) What does this imply, in this case, about the multiplicative inverse of 17, in arithmetic mod 39?

**Solution:**

(a) Filling in the blanks,

\[
\begin{align*}
3 &= 1 \times 54 - 3 \times 17 \\
2 &= 1 \times 17 - 5 \times 3 \\
1 &= 1 \times 3 - 1 \times 2 \\
[0] &= 1 \times 2 - 2 \times 1
\end{align*}
\]

It may be easier to think about this in a rearranged form: \(54 = 3 \times 17 + 3\), etc.; this directly corresponds to the \(54 \mod 17 = 3\) operation in the forward pass, and the desired blank comes from \([54/17]\).

(b) Working our way backward up the equalities and substituting them in, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= 1 \times 3 - 1 \times 2 \\
  &= 1 \times 3 - 1 \times (1 \times 17 - 5 \times 3) \\
  &= -1 \times 17 + 6 \times 3 \\
  &= -1 \times 17 + 6 \times (1 \times 54 - 3 \times 17) \\
  &= 6 \times 54 - 19 \times 17
\end{align*}
\]

(c) Doing the forward pass,

\[
\begin{align*}
gcd(39, 17) &= gcd(17, 5) \\
 &= gcd(5, 2) \\
 &= gcd(2, 1) \\
 &= gcd(1, 0)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
5 &= 1 \times 39 - 2 \times 17 \\
2 &= 1 \times 17 - 3 \times 5 \\
1 &= 1 \times 5 - 2 \times 2 \\
[0] &= 1 \times 2 - 2 \times 1
\end{align*}
\]

Going back up, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= 1 \times 5 - 2 \times 2 \\
  &= 1 \times 5 - 2 \times (1 \times 17 - 3 \times 5) \\
  &= -2 \times 17 + 7 \times 5 \\
  &= -2 \times 17 + 7 \times (1 \times 39 - 2 \times 17) \\
  &= 7 \times 39 - 16 \times 17
\end{align*}
\]

This leaves us with a final answer of \(1 = 7 \times 39 - 16 \times 17\).

(d) It is equal to \(-16 \mod 39\), which is equal to 23 mod 39.
2 Chinese Remainder Theorem Practice

In this question, you will solve for a natural number $x$ such that,

\[
\begin{align*}
x &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\
x &\equiv 3 \pmod{5} \\
x &\equiv 4 \pmod{7}
\end{align*}
\]

(a) Suppose you find 3 natural numbers $a, b, c$ that satisfy the following properties:

\[
\begin{align*}
a &\equiv 2 \pmod{3} ; a \equiv 0 \pmod{5} ; a \equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \\
b &\equiv 0 \pmod{3} ; b \equiv 3 \pmod{5} ; b \equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \\
c &\equiv 0 \pmod{3} ; c \equiv 0 \pmod{5} ; c \equiv 4 \pmod{7}.
\end{align*}
\]

Show how you can use the knowledge of $a, b$ and $c$ to compute an $x$ that satisfies (1).

In the following parts, you will compute natural numbers $a, b$ and $c$ that satisfy the above 3 conditions and use them to find an $x$ that indeed satisfies (1).

(b) Find a natural number $a$ that satisfies (2). In particular, an $a$ such that $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and is a multiple of 5 and 7. It may help to approach the following problem first:

(b.i) Find $a^*$, the multiplicative inverse of $5 \times 7$ modulo 3. What do you see when you compute $(5 \times 7) \times a^*$ modulo 3, 5 and 7? What can you then say about $(5 \times 7) \times (2 \times a^*)$?

(c) Find a natural number $b$ that satisfies (3). In other words: $b \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ and is a multiple of 3 and 7.

(d) Find a natural number $c$ that satisfies (4). That is, $c$ is a multiple of 3 and 5 and $c \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$.

(e) Putting together your answers for Part (a), (b), (c) and (d), report an $x$ that indeed satisfies (1).

Solution:

(a) Observe that $a + b + c \equiv 2 + 0 + 0 \pmod{3}$, $a + b + c \equiv 0 + 3 + 0 \pmod{5}$ and $a + b + c \equiv 0 + 0 + 4 \pmod{7}$. Therefore $x = a + b + c$ indeed satisfies the conditions in (1).

(b) This question asks to find a number $0 \leq a < 3 \times 5 \times 7$ that is divisible by 5 and 7 and returns 2 when divided by 3. Let’s first look at Part (b.i):

(b.i) Observe that $(5 \times 7) \equiv 35 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Multiplying both sides by 2, this means that $2 \times (5 \times 7) \equiv 4 \pmod{3} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. So, the multiplicative inverse of $5 \times 7$, $a^*$ is exactly 2. To verify this: observe that $(5 \times 7) \times 2 = 70 = 3 \times 23 + 1$. Therefore $(5 \times 7) \times 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Consider $5 \times 7 \times a^*$. Since it is a multiple of 5 and 7, it is equal to 0 modulo either of these numbers. On the other hand, $5 \times 7 \times a^* \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, since $a^*$ is precisely defined to be the multiplicative inverse of $5 \times 7$ modulo 3.
Consider $5 \times 7 \times (2 \times a^*) = 140$. It is a multiple of, and is therefore $0$ modulo both $5$ and $7$. On the other hand, $5 \times 7 \times (2 \times a^*) \equiv 1 \times 2 \pmod{3}$, for the same reason that $a^*$ is defined to be the multiplicative inverse of $5 \times 7$ modulo $3$.

Indeed observe that $5 \times 7 \times (2 \times a^*) = 140$ precisely satisfies the criteria required in Part (b). It is equivalent to $0$ modulo $5$ and $7$ and $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

(c) Let’s try to use a similar approach as Part (b). In particular, first observe that $3 \times 7 \equiv 21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Therefore, $b^*$, the multiplicative inverse of $3 \times 7$ modulo $5$ is in fact $1$! So, let us consider $3 \times 7 \times (3 \times b^*) = 63$: this is a multiple of $3$ and $7$ and is therefore $0$ modulo both these numbers. On the other hand, $3 \times 7 \times (3 \times b^*) \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ for the reason that $b^*$ is the multiplicative inverse of $3 \times 7$ modulo $5$.

(d) Yet again the approach of Part (b) proves to be useful! Observe that $3 \times 5 \equiv 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$. Therefore, $c^*$, the multiplicative inverse of $3 \times 5$ modulo $7$ turns out to be $1$. So, let us consider $3 \times 5 \times (4 \times c^*) = 60$: this is a multiple of $3$ and $5$. is therefore $0$ modulo both these numbers. On the other hand, $3 \times 5 \times (4 \times c^*) \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ for the reason that $c^*$ is the multiplicative inverse of $3 \times 5$ modulo $7$.

(e) From Parts (b), (c) and (d) we find a choice of $a, b, c$ (respectively $= 140, 63, 60$) which satisfies (2), (3) and (4). Together with Part (a) of the question, this implies that $x = a + b + c = 263$ satisfies the required criterion in (1).

To verify this: observe that,

\[ 263 = 87 \times 3 + 2, \]
\[ 263 = 52 \times 5 + 3, \]
\[ 263 = 37 \times 7 + 4. \]

3 Baby Fermat

Assume that $a$ does have a multiplicative inverse mod $m$. Let us prove that its multiplicative inverse can be written as $a^k \pmod{m}$ for some $k \geq 0$.

(a) Consider the infinite sequence $a, a^2, a^3, \ldots \pmod{m}$. Prove that this sequence has repetitions. (Hint: Consider the Pigeonhole Principle.)

(b) Assuming that $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$, where $i > j$, what is the value of $a^{i-j} \pmod{m}$?

(c) Prove that the multiplicative inverse can be written as $a^k \pmod{m}$. What is $k$ in terms of $i$ and $j$?

Solution:
(a) There are only \(m\) possible values \(\text{mod } m\), and so after the \(m\)-th term we should see repetitions. The Pigeonhole principle applies here - we have \(m\) boxes that represent the different unique values that \(a^k\) can take on \((\text{mod } m)\). Then, we can view \(a,a^2,a^3,\ldots\) as the objects to put in the \(m\) boxes. As soon as we have more than \(m\) objects (in other words, we reach \(a^{m+1}\) in our sequence), the Pigeonhole Principle implies that there will be a collision, or that at least two numbers in our sequence take on the same value \((\text{mod } m)\).

(b) We will temporarily use the notation \(a^*\) for the multiplicative inverse of \(a\) to avoid confusion. If we multiply both sides by \((a^*)^j\) in the third line below, we get

\[
a^i \equiv a^j \quad \pmod{m},
\]

\[
a^{i-j} \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} \equiv \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} \quad \pmod{m},
\]

\[
a^{i-j} \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} a^* \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} \equiv \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} a^* \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{j \text{ times}} \quad \pmod{m},
\]

\[
a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \quad \pmod{m}.
\]

(c) We can rewrite \(a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\) as \(a^{i-j-1}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\). Therefore \(a^{i-j-1}\) is the multiplicative inverse of \(a \pmod{m}\).