

Discussion 1A

CS 70, Summer 2024

This content is protected and may not be shared, uploaded, or distributed.

1 Translation

- (a) (i) $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x \notin \mathbb{Q})$. True.
- (ii) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(6 \mid n \implies (3 \mid n \wedge 2 \mid n))$. True.
- (b) (i) All rational numbers are integers. False.
- (ii) Every integer is the difference of two natural numbers. True.

2 Truth Tables

- (a) (i) True, using the truth tables.
- (ii) True, using the truth tables.
- (b) (i) Equivalent, using the truth tables.
- (ii) Equivalent, using the truth tables.

3 Logical Implication

- (a) True. Suppose that $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$. Then either $\exists x P(x)$ or $\exists x Q(x)$.

If the first is true, existential instantiation gets some a such that $P(a)$. But if $P(a)$, it's definitely true that $P(a) \vee Q(a)$. So by existential generalization, $\exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$.

If the second is true, existential instantiation gets some b such that $Q(b)$. But if $Q(b)$, it's definitely true that $P(b) \vee Q(b)$. So by existential generalization, $\exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$.

In either case, $\exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$. So we have that it is true regardless of which one actually was true.

Since by assuming $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$ we were able to get that $\exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$, we have that

$$\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \implies \exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x)).$$

- (b) False. Consider a model with two elements, a and b , where $P(a)$ and $Q(b)$.
- (c) False. Consider a model with two elements, a and b , where $R(a, b)$ and $R(b, a)$.