

Today.

Last time:
Shared (and sort of kept) secrets.
Today: Errors
Tolerate Loss: erasure codes.
Tolerate corruption!

Finite Fields

Proof works for reals, rationals, and complex numbers.
..but not for integers, since no multiplicative inverses.
Arithmetic modulo a prime p has multiplicative inverses..
..and has only a finite number of elements.
Good for computer science.
Arithmetic modulo a prime m is **finite field**: F_m or $GF(m)$.
Intuitively: field is set with operations for addition, multiplication, and division.
In the rationals, the precision blows up, where in modular arithmetic, it does not.

In general..

Given points: $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \dots (x_k, y_k)$.
Solve...

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{k-1}x_1^{k-1} + \dots + a_0 & \equiv & y_1 \pmod{p} \\ a_{k-1}x_2^{k-1} + \dots + a_0 & \equiv & y_2 \pmod{p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k-1}x_k^{k-1} + \dots + a_0 & \equiv & y_k \pmod{p} \end{array}$$

Will this always work?
As long as solution **exists** and it is **unique!** And...

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p contains $d+1$ pts.

Secret Sharing

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly one polynomial degree $\leq d$ over $GF(p)$, $P(x)$, that hits $d+1$ points.

Shamir's k out of n Scheme:

Secret $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

1. Choose $a_0 = s$, and randomly a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} .
2. Let $P(x) = a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + a_{k-2}x^{k-2} + \dots + a_0$ with $a_0 = s$.
3. Share i is point $(i, P(i) \pmod{p})$.

Robustness: Any k knows secret.

Knowing k pts, only one $P(x)$, evaluate $P(0)$.

Secrecy: Any $k-1$ knows nothing.

Knowing $\leq k-1$ pts, any $P(0)$ is possible.

Two points make a line: the value of one point allows for any y-intercept.

3 kids hand out 3 points. Any two know the line (and the y-intercept.)

Proof sketches.

Property 2 A polynomial: $P(x) = a_d x^d + \dots + a_0$ has $d+1$ coefficients.
Any set of $d+1$ points uniquely determines the polynomial.

Existence: Lagrange Interpolation.

Degree d , $\Delta_i(x)$ polynomials.
factors of $(x - x_j)$ to zero out at $x_j \neq x_i$.

$$\Delta_i(x_i) = 1, \Delta_i(x_j) = 0$$

Combine: $y_1 \Delta_1(x_1) + y_2 \Delta_2(x_2) + \dots + \dots$

Multiply by zero. My love is won.

Uniqueness:

Property 1 A non-zero degree d polynomial has at most d roots.

Factoring: $P(x)$ with roots r_1, \dots, r_d
 $\implies P(x) = c(x - r_0)(x - r_1) \dots (x - r_d)$.

Love me some contradiction!


Two polynomials: $P(x), Q(x)$, $P(x) - Q(x)$ has too many roots.

Secret Sharing.

n people, k is enough to reconstruct secret.

- (A) The modulus needs to be at least $n+1$.
 - (B) The modulus needs to be at least k .
 - (C) Use degree k polynomial, hand out n points.
 - (D) Use degree n polynomial, hand out k points.
 - (E) Use degree $k-1$ polynomial, hand out n points.
 - (F) The modulus needs to be at least 2^s , where s is value of secret.
 - (G) The modulus needs to be at least 2^s , where s is size of secret.
- (A), (B), (E), (F)

Erasure Codes.



Solution Idea.

n packet message, channel that loses k packets.

Must send $n + k$ packets!

Any n packets should allow reconstruction of n packet message.

Any n point values allow reconstruction of degree $n - 1$ polynomial.

Alright!!!!!!

Use polynomials.

The Scheme

Problem: Want to send a message with n packets.

Channel: Lossy channel: loses k packets.

Question: Can you send $n + k$ packets and recover message?


A degree $n - 1$ polynomial determined by any n points!

Erasure Coding Scheme: message = m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} .

1. Choose prime $p \approx 2^b$ for packet size b .
2. $P(x) = m_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + m_0 \pmod{p}$.
3. Send $P(1), \dots, P(n+k)$.

Any n of the $n + k$ packets gives polynomial ...and message!

Erasure Codes.



Information Theory.

Size: Can choose a prime between 2^{b-1} and 2^b .
(Lose at most 1 bit per packet.)

But: packets need label for x value.

There are Galois Fields $GF(2^n)$ where one loses nothing.

– Can also run the Fast Fourier Transform.

In practice, $O(n)$ operations with almost the same redundancy.

Comparison with Secret Sharing: information content.

Secret Sharing: each share is size of whole secret.

Coding: Each packet has size $1/n$ of the whole message.

Erasure Code: Example.

Send message of 1, 4, and 4.

Make polynomial with $P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 4$.

How?

Lagrange Interpolation.
Linear System.

Work modulo 5.

$P(x) = x^2 \pmod{5}$

$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 9 = 4 \pmod{5}$

Send $(0, P(0)) \dots (5, P(5))$.

6 points. Better work modulo 7 at least!

Why? $(0, P(0)) = (5, P(5)) \pmod{5}$

Example

Make polynomial with $P(1) = 1$, $P(2) = 4$, $P(3) = 4$.

Modulo 7 to accommodate at least 6 packets.

Linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} P(1) &= a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\ P(2) &= 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \\ P(3) &= 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

$$6a_1 + 3a_0 = 2 \pmod{7}, \quad 5a_1 + 4a_0 = 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$a_1 = 2a_0. \quad a_0 = 2 \pmod{7} \quad a_1 = 4 \pmod{7} \quad a_2 = 2 \pmod{7}$$

$$P(x) = 2x^2 + 4x + 2$$

$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, \text{ and } P(3) = 4$$

Send

Packets: (1, 1), (2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 7), (5, 2), (6, 0)

Notice that packets contain "x-values".

Polynomials.

- ▶ ..give Secret Sharing.
- ▶ ..give Erasure Codes.

Error Correction:

Noisy Channel: **corrupts** k packets. (rather than **loss**.)

Additional Challenge: Finding **which** packets are corrupt.

Bad reception!

Send: (1, 1), (2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 7), (5, 2), (6, 0)

Recieve: (1, 1) (2, 4), (6, 0)

Reconstruct?

Format: $(i, R(i))$.

Lagrange or linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned} P(1) &= a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\ P(2) &= 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \\ P(6) &= 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

Channeling Sahai ...

$$P(x) = 2x^2 + 4x + 2$$

Message? $P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 4$.

Questions for Review

You want to encode a secret consisting of 1,4,4.

How big should modulus be?

Larger than 144 and prime!

Remember the secret, $s = 144$, must be one of the possible values.

You want to send a message consisting of packets 1,4,2,3,0

through a noisy channel that loses 3 packets.

How big should modulus be?


Larger than 8 and prime!

The other constraint: arithmetic system can represent 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

Send n packets b -bit packets, with k errors.

Modulus should be larger than $n + k$ and also larger than 2^b .

Error Correction



The Scheme.

Problem: Communicate n packets m_1, \dots, m_n on noisy channel that corrupts $\leq k$ packets.

Reed-Solomon Code:

1. Make a polynomial, $P(x)$ of degree $n - 1$, that encodes message.
 - ▶ $P(1) = m_1, \dots, P(n) = m_n$.
 - ▶ **Comment:** could encode with packets as coefficients.
2. Send $P(1), \dots, P(n + 2k)$.

After noisy channel: Recieve values $R(1), \dots, R(n + 2k)$.

Properties:

- (1) $P(i) = R(i)$ for at least $n + k$ points i ,
- (2) $P(x)$ is unique degree $n - 1$ polynomial that contains $\geq n + k$ received points.

Properties: proof.

$P(x)$: degree $n-1$ polynomial.
 Send $P(1), \dots, P(n+2k)$
 Receive $R(1), \dots, R(n+2k)$
 At most k i 's where $P(i) \neq R(i)$.

Properties:

- (1) $P(i) = R(i)$ for at least $n+k$ points i ,
- (2) $P(x)$ is unique degree $n-1$ polynomial that contains $\geq n+k$ received points.

Proof:

- (1) Sure. Only k corruptions.
- (2) Some degree $n-1$ polynomial $Q(x)$ consistent with $n+k$ points.
 $\mathcal{Q} = \{i : Q(i) = R(i)\} \quad |\mathcal{Q}| \geq n+k. \quad |\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}| \leq k$
 $\mathcal{P} = \{i : P(i) = R(i)\} \quad |\mathcal{P}| \geq n+k. \quad |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}| \leq k$
 $\mathcal{Q} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \leq 2k \implies \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{P} \geq n$
 $\implies P(i) = R(i) = Q(i)$ on $\geq n$ values of i 's
 $\implies Q(i) = P(i)$ at n points. $\implies Q(x) = P(x)$. □

Properties: proof.


$P(x)$: degree $n-1$ polynomial.
 Send $P(1), \dots, P(n+2k)$ Receive $R(1), \dots, R(n+2k)$
 At most k i 's where $P(i) \neq R(i)$.
 Claim: $P(x)$ is unique degree $n-1$ polynomial

Proof:

"Other" degree $n-1$ polynomial $Q(x)$ consistent with $n+k$ points.

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{i : Q(i) = R(i)\} \quad |\mathcal{Q}| \geq n+k. \quad |\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}| \leq k$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{i : P(i) = R(i)\} \quad |\mathcal{P}| \geq n+k. \quad |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}| \leq k$$



$$\mathcal{Q} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \leq 2k \implies \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{P} \geq n$$

$$\implies P(i) = R(i) = Q(i) \text{ on } \geq n \text{ values of } i\text{'s}$$

$$\implies Q(i) = P(i) \text{ at } n \text{ points.}$$

$$\implies Q(x) = P(x). \quad \square$$

Example.

Message: 3,0,6.

Reed Solomon Code:

$$P(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6 \text{ modulo } 7.$$

$$\text{Send: } P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6, P(4) = 0, P(5) = 3.$$

(Aside: Message in plain text!)

$$\text{Receive } R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3.$$

$$P(i) = R(i) \text{ for } n+k = 3+1 = 4 \text{ points.}$$

Slow solution.

Brute Force:

For each subset of $n+k$ points
 Fit degree $n-1$ polynomial, $Q(x)$, to n of them.
 Check if consistent with $n+k$ of the total points.
 If yes, output $Q(x)$.

- For subset of $n+k$ pts where $R(i) = P(i)$, method will reconstruct $P(x)$!
- For any subset of $n+k$ pts,
 1. unique degree $n-1$ polynomial $Q(x)$ that fits $\geq n$ of them
 2. and where $Q(x)$ is consistent with $n+k$ points $\implies P(x) = Q(x)$.

Reconstructs $P(x)$ and only $P(x)$!!

Example.

$$\text{Send: } P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6, P(4) = 0, P(5) = 3.$$

$$\text{Received } R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3$$

$$\text{Find } P(x) = p_2x^2 + p_1x + p_0 \text{ that contains } n+k = 3+1 \text{ points.}$$

All equations..

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 + p_1 + p_0 &\equiv 3 \pmod{7} \\ 4p_2 + 2p_1 + p_0 &\equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\ 2p_2 + 3p_1 + p_0 &\equiv 6 \pmod{7} \\ 2p_2 + 4p_1 + p_0 &\equiv 0 \pmod{7} \\ 4p_2 + 5p_1 + p_0 &\equiv 3 \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

Assume point 1 is wrong and solve..no consistent solution!

Assume point 2 is wrong and solve...consistent solution!

In general..

$$P(x) = p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + p_0 \text{ and receive } R(1), \dots, R(m = n+2k).$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n-1} + \dots + p_0 &\equiv R(1) \pmod{p} \\ p_{n-1}2^{n-1} + \dots + p_0 &\equiv R(2) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ p_{n-1}i^{n-1} + \dots + p_0 &\equiv R(i) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ p_{n-1}(m)^{n-1} + \dots + p_0 &\equiv R(m) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Error!! Where???

Could be anywhere!!! ...so try everywhere.

Runtime: $\binom{n+2k}{k}$ possibilities.

Something like $(n/k)^k$...Exponential in k !

How do we find where the bad packets are efficiently?!?!?!?

Ditty...

Oh where, Oh where
has my little dog gone?
Oh where, oh where can he be
With his ears cut short
And his tail cut long
Oh where, oh where can he be?

Oh where, Oh where
have my packets gone.. **wrong?**
Oh where, oh where do they not fit.
With the polynomial well put
But the channel a bit wrong
Where, oh where do we look?

..turn their heads each day,

$$\begin{aligned} E(1)(p_{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(1)E(1) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ E(i)(p_{n-1}i^{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(i)E(i) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ E(m)(p_{n-1}(n+2k)^{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(m)E(m) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

...so satisfied, I'm on my way.

$m = n + 2k$ satisfied equations, $n + k$ unknowns. **But nonlinear!**

Let $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0$.

Equations:

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i).$$

and linear in a_j and coefficients of $E(x)$!

Where oh where can my bad packets be?

$$\begin{aligned} E(1)(p_{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(1)E(1) \pmod{p} \\ 0 \times E(2)(p_{n-1}2^{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(2)E(2) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ E(m)(p_{n-1}(m)^{n-1} + \dots p_0) &\equiv R(n+2k)E(m) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Idea: Multiply equation i by 0 if and only if $P(i) \neq R(i)$.
Zero times anything is zero!!!! My love is won.
All equations satisfied!!!!

But which equations should we multiply by 0? **Where oh where...??**

We will use a polynomial!!!! That we don't know. But can find!

Errors at points e_1, \dots, e_k . (In diagram above, $e_1 = 2$.)

Error locator polynomial: $E(x) = (x - e_1)(x - e_2) \dots (x - e_k)$.

$E(i) = 0$ if and only if $e_j = i$ for some j

Multiply equations by $E(\cdot)$. (Above $E(x) = (x-2)$.)

All equations satisfied!!

Finding $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$?

► $E(x)$ has degree k ...

$$E(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} \dots b_0.$$

$\implies k$ (unknown) coefficients. Leading coefficient is 1.

► $Q(x) = P(x)E(x)$ has degree $n + k - 1$...

$$Q(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + a_{n+k-2}x^{n+k-2} + \dots a_0$$

$\implies n + k$ (unknown) coefficients.

Number of unknown coefficients: $n + 2k$.

Example.

Received $R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3$

Find $P(x) = p_2x^2 + p_1x + p_0$ that contains $n + k = 3 + 1$ points.

Plugin points...

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 2)(p_2 + p_1 + p_0) &\equiv (3)(1 - 2) \pmod{7} \\ (2 - 2)(4p_2 + 2p_1 + p_0) &\equiv (1)(2 - 2) \pmod{7} \\ (3 - 2)(2p_2 + 3p_1 + p_0) &\equiv (6)(3 - 2) \pmod{7} \\ (4 - 2)(2p_2 + 4p_1 + p_0) &\equiv (0)(4 - 2) \pmod{7} \\ (5 - 2)(4p_2 + 5p_1 + p_0) &\equiv (3)(5 - 2) \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

Error locator polynomial: $(x - 2)$.

Multiply equation i by $(i - 2)$. All equations satisfied!

But don't know error locator polynomial!

Do know form: $(x - e)$.

In general: $x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots b_0$.

also: $(x - e_1)(x - e_2) \dots (x - e_k)$.

4 unknowns (p_0, p_1, p_2 and e), 5 **nonlinear** equations.

Solving for $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$...and $P(x)$

For all points $1, \dots, i, n + 2k = m$,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives $n + 2k$ linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

..and $n + 2k$ unknown coefficients of $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$!

Solve for coefficients of $Q(x)$ and $E(x)$.

$$\text{Find } P(x) = Q(x)/E(x).$$

Example.

Received $R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3$

$$Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$$

$$E(x) = x - b_0$$

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i).$$

$$a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

$$a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

$$6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 \equiv 6(3 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

$$a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 \equiv 0(4 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

$$6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 \equiv 3(5 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

$$a_3 = 1, a_2 = 6, a_1 = 6, a_0 = 5 \text{ and } b_0 = 2.$$

$$Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

Check your undersanding.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone **wrong**?

Factor? Sure.

Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency? Sure. Only $n + 2k$ values.

See where it is 0.

Example: finishing up.

$$Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \ x^2 + 1 \ x + 1 \\ \hline x - 2 \) \ x^3 + 6 \ x^2 + 6 \ x + 5 \\ \underline{x^3 - 2 \ x^2} \\ 1 \ x^2 + 6 \ x + 5 \\ \underline{1 \ x^2 - 2 \ x} \\ x + 5 \\ \underline{x - 2} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

$$P(x) = x^2 + x + 1$$

Message is $P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6$.

What is $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$? 1

Except at $x = 2$? Hole there?

Hmmm...

Is there one and only one $P(x)$ from Berlekamp-Welsh procedure?

Existence: there is a $P(x)$ and $E(x)$ that satisfy equations.

Error Correction: Berlekamp-Welsh

Message: m_1, \dots, m_n .

Sender:

1. Form degree $n - 1$ polynomial $P(x)$ where $P(i) = m_i$.
2. Send $P(1), \dots, P(n + 2k)$.

Receiver:

1. Receive $R(1), \dots, R(n + 2k)$.
2. Solve $n + 2k$ equations, $Q(i) = E(i)R(i)$ to find $Q(x) = E(x)P(x)$ and $E(x)$.
3. Compute $P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)$.
4. Compute $P(1), \dots, P(n)$.

Unique solution for $P(x)$

Uniqueness: any solution $Q'(x)$ and $E'(x)$ have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x). \quad (1)$$

Proof:

We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n + 2k \text{ values of } x. \quad (2)$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

$Q'(x)E(x)$ and $Q(x)E'(x)$ are degree $n + 2k - 1$ and agree on $n + 2k$ points

$E(x)$ and $E'(x)$ have at most k zeros each.

Can cross divide at n points.

$$\implies \frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} \text{ equal on } n \text{ points.}$$

Both degree $\leq n - 1 \implies$ Same polynomial!

□

Last bit.

Fact: $Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x)$ on $n+2k$ values of x .

Proof: Construction implies that

$$\begin{aligned}Q(i) &= R(i)E(i) \\ Q'(i) &= R(i)E'(i)\end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n+2k\}$.

If $E(i) = 0$, then $Q(i) = 0$. If $E'(i) = 0$, then $Q'(i) = 0$.

$\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$ holds when $E(i)$ or $E'(i)$ are zero.

When $E'(i)$ and $E(i)$ are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives

$$Q'(i)E(i) = Q(i)E'(i) = R(i),$$

for these points. □

Points to polynomials, have to deal with zeros!

Example: dealing with $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$ at $x=2$.

Summary. Error Correction.

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

How many packets? $n+k$

How to encode? With polynomial, $P(x)$.

Of degree? $n-1$

Recover? Reconstruct $P(x)$ with any n points!

Communicate n packets, with k errors.

How many packets? $n+2k$

Why?

k changes to make diff. messages overlap

How to encode? With polynomial, $P(x)$. Of degree? $n-1$.

Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, $E(x)$, and $P(x)$!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct $E(x)$ and $Q(x) = E(x)P(x)$. Linear Equations.

Polynomial division! $P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)$!

Reed-Solomon codes. Welsh-Berlekamp Decoding. Perfection!

Yaay!!

Berlekamp-Welsh algorithm decodes correctly when k errors!

Cool.

Really Cool!

Poll

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as $P(x)$ where one sends packets $P(1), \dots, P(8)$.

You receive packets $R(1), \dots, R(8)$.

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

(A) $R(1) \neq P(1)$

(B) The degree of $P(x)E(x) = 3+2=5$.

(C) The degree of $E(x)$ is 2.

(D) The number of coefficients of $P(x)$ is 4.

(E) The number of coefficients of $P(x)Q(x)$ is 6.

(E) is false.

(A) $E(x) = (x-1)(x-4)$

(B) The number of coefficients in $E(x)$ is 2.

(C) The number of unknown coefficients in $E(x)$ is 2.

(D) $E(x) = (x-1)(x-2)$

(E) $R(4) \neq P(4)$

(F) The degree of $R(x)$ is 5.

(A), (C), (E). (F) doesn't type check!