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Finish Graphs (mostly)
A Tree, a tree.

Graph $G = (V, E)$.
Binary Tree!
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Proof of only if.

**Thm:**
“G connected and has $|V| - 1$ edges” \(\implies\)
“G is connected and has no cycles.”

**Proof of** \(\implies\) :

\[
\text{By induction on } |V|.
\]

**Base Case:**
\(|V| = 1. 0 = |V| - 1\) edges and has no cycles.

**Induction Step:**
**Claim:** There is a degree 1 node.

**Proof:**
First, connected \(\implies\) every vertex degree \(\geq 1\).

Sum of degrees is \(2|E| = 2(|V| - 1) = 2|V| - 2\)

Average degree \(\frac{2|V| - 2}{|V|} = 2 - \left(\frac{2}{|V|}\right)\).

Must be a degree 1 vertex.

Cuz not everyone is bigger than average!

By degree 1 removal lemma, \(G - v\) is connected.

\(G - v\) has \(|V| - 1\) vertices and \(|V| - 2\) edges so by induction \(\implies\) no cycle in \(G - v\).

And no cycle in \(G\) since degree 1 cannot participate in cycle.
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Let $G$ be a connected graph with $|V| - 1$ edges.
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(B) One can use induction on smaller objects.
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(B), (C), (D) are true
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  Algorithm for Eulerian Tour.
    Take a walk until stuck to form tour.
    Remove tour.
    Recurse on connected components.

Trees: degree 1 lemma $\Rightarrow$ equivalence of several definitions.
  $G$: $n$ vertices and $n - 1$ edges and connected.
  remove degree 1 vertex.
  $n - 1$ vertices, $n - 2$ edges and connected $\Rightarrow$ acyclic.
    (Ind. Hyp.)
  degree 1 vertex is not in a cycle.
  $G$ is acyclic.
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Let $G$ be a connected graph with $|V| - 1$ edges.

(A) Removing a degree 1 vertex can disconnect the graph.
(B) One can use induction on smaller objects.
(C) The average degree is $2 - 2/|V|$.
(D) There is a hotel california: a degree 1 vertex.
(E) Everyone can be bigger than average.
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Let $G$ be a connected graph with $|V| - 1$ edges.

(A) Removing a degree 1 vertex can disconnect the graph.
(B) One can use induction on smaller objects.
(C) The average degree is $2 - 2/|V|$.
(D) There is a hotel california: a degree 1 vertex.
(E) Everyone can be bigger than average.

(B), (C), (D) are true
Proof of “handshake” lemma.

Lemma: The sum of degrees is $2|E|$, for a graph $G = (V, E)$.

What’s true?

(A) The number of edge-vertex incidences for an edge $e$ is 2.
(B) The total number of edge-vertex incidences is $|V|$.
(C) The total number of edge-vertex incidences is $2|E|$.
(D) The number of edge-vertex incidences for a vertex $v$ is its degree.
(E) The sum of degrees is $2|E|$.
(F) Total number of edge-vertex incidences is sum of vertex degrees.
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(F) Total number of edge-vertex incidences is sum of vertex degrees.

(B) is false. The others are statements in the proof.
Proof of “handshake” lemma.

Lemma: The sum of degrees is $2|E|$, for a graph $G = (V, E)$.
What’s true?

(A) The number of edge-vertex incidences for an edge $e$ is 2.
(B) The total number of edge-vertex incidences is $|V|$.
(C) The total number of edge-vertex incidences is $2|E|$.
(D) The number of edge-vertex incidences for a vertex $v$ is its degree.
(E) The sum of degrees is $2|E|$.
(F) Total number of edge-vertex incidences is sum of vertex degrees.

(B) is false. The others are statements in the proof.

Handshake lemma: sum of number of handshakes of each person is twice the number of handshakes.
A graph is Eulerian if it is connected and has even degree.
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A graph is Eulerian if it is connected and has a tour that uses every edge once.

**Mark correct statements for a connected graph where all vertices have even degree.** (Here a tour means uses an edge exactly once, but may involve a vertex several times.)

(A) There is no Hotel California in this graph.

(B) Walking on unused edges, starting at $v$, eventually “stuck” at $v$.

(C) Removing a tour leaves a graph of even degree.

(D) Removing a tour leaves a connected graph.

(E) Remove set of edges $E'$ in connected graph, connected component is incident to edge in $E'$.

(F) A tour connecting a set of connected components, each with a Eulerian tour is really cool! This implies the graph is Eulerian.

**Only (D) is false. The rest are steps in the proof.**
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(F) A tour connecting a set of connected components, each with a Eulerian tour is really cool! This implies the graph is Eulerian.
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A graph is Eulerian if it is connected and has a tour that uses every edge once.

Mark correct statements for a connected graph where all vertices have even degree. (Here a tour means uses an edge exactly once, but may involve a vertex several times.

(A) There is no Hotel California in this graph.
(B) Walking on unused edges, starting at v, eventually “stuck” at v.
(C) Removing a tour leaves a graph of even degree.
(D) Removing a tour leaves a connected graph.
(E) Remove set of edges $E'$ in connected graph, connected component is incident to edge in $E'$
(F) A tour connecting a set of connected components, each with a Eulerian tour is really cool! This implies the graph is Eulerian.

Only (D) is false. The rest are steps in the proof.
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Euler's Formula.

Planar Six and then Five Color theorem.

Types of graphs.

Complete Graphs.
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Hypercubes.
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Greeks knew formula for polyhedron.

Faces?

Euler: Connected planar graph:
\[ v + f = e + 2. \]

Greeks couldn’t prove it.

Induction?

Remove vertice for polyhedron?

Polyhedron without holes ≡ Planar graphs.

For Convex Polyhedron:

Surround by sphere.

Project from internal point polytope to sphere: drawing on sphere.

Project Sphere-N onto Plane: drawing on plane.

Euler proved formula thousands of years later!
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Greeks couldn’t prove it. Induction? Remove vertex for polyhedron?
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For Convex Polyhedron:
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Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$. 

10 \not\leq 3 \left(5 - 6\right) = 9. 
$\implies K_5$ is not planar.
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Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

1. $v + f \geq e + 2$
2. $f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$.

Plug into Euler:

$e \leq 3v - 6$ for $K_5$ edges?

$e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10$.

Vertices?

$v = 5$.

$10 \not\leq 3(5) - 6 = 9$.

$\Rightarrow K_5$ is not planar.
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Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph.

$K_5$ Edges?

$e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10.$

$10 \not\leq 3(5) - 6 = 9.$

$\implies K_5$ is not planar.
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. 

Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$. 

$K_5$ Edges?

$e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10.$

Vertices?

$v = 5.$

$10 \not\leq 3(5) - 6 = 9.$ 

$\implies K_5$ is not planar.
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler:
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph. We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$. Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities.

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).

$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.

$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2$
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$
Euler and non-planarity of \( K_5 \) and \( K_{3,3} \)

Euler: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where \( v \geq 3 \).

Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges \((v > 2)\).

\[ \geq 3f \text{ face-edge adjacencies.} \]

Each edge is adjacent to two faces.

\[ \text{= 2e face-edge adjacencies.} \]

\[ \implies 3f \leq 2e \text{ for any planar graph with } v > 2. \]

Or \( f \leq \frac{2}{3} e \).

Plug into Euler: \( v + \frac{2}{3} e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6 \)

\( K_5 \)
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$
\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3} e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3} e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

K₅ Edges?
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3} e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3} e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

$K_5$ Edges? $e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1$
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.

We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$$\implies 3f \leq 2e$$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3} e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3} e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

$K_5$ Edges? $e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10$. 

K_{3,3} Edges? $e = 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 8$.
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

$K_5$ Edges? $e = 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10$. Vertices?
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$.
Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$.
Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

$10 \not\leq 3(5) - 6 = 9$. 
Euler and non-planarity of $K_5$ and $K_{3,3}$

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$ for connected planar graph.
We consider simple graphs where $v \geq 3$. Consider Face edge Adjacencies with multiplicities

Each face is adjacent to at least three edges ($v > 2$).
$\geq 3f$ face-edge adjacencies.
Each edge is adjacent to two faces.
$= 2e$ face-edge adjacencies.
$\implies 3f \leq 2e$ for any planar graph with $v > 2$. Or $f \leq \frac{2}{3}e$.

Plug into Euler: $v + \frac{2}{3}e \geq e + 2 \implies e \leq 3v - 6$

$10 \not\leq 3(5) - 6 = 9. \implies K_5$ is not planar.
Euler’s formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \)

**Consider graph with \( > 2 \) vertices. Understand the following.**

(A) Every face is incident to \( \geq 3 \) edges.
(B) \( \parallel \text{Face-edge incidences} \parallel \geq 3f \)
(C) Every edge is incident (with multiplicity) to 2 faces.
(D) \( \parallel \text{Face edge incidences} \parallel = 2e \)
(E) \( 3f \leq \parallel \text{Face-edge-incidences} \parallel = 2e \)
(F) \( 3(e + 2 - v) \leq 2e \)

Conclusion: \( e \leq 3v - 6 \)
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$

$K_{3,3}$?
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$

$K_{3,3}$? Edges?
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$

Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$

Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.

$9 \leq 3(6) - 6? \quad$
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.

9 $\leq$ 3(6) – 6? Sure!
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.

$9 \leq 3(6) - 6$? Sure!

Step in proof of $K_5$: faces are adjacent to $\geq 3$ edges.
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.

$9 \leq 3(6) - 6$? Sure!

Step in proof of $K_5$: faces are adjacent to $\geq 3$ edges.

For $K_{3,3}$ every cycle is of even length or incident $\geq 4$ faces.
Proving non-planarity for $K_{3,3}$


$e \leq 3(v) - 6$ for planar graphs.

$9 \leq 3(6) - 6$? Sure!

Step in proof of $K_5$: faces are adjacent to $\geq 3$ edges.

For $K_{3,3}$ every cycle is of even length or incident $\geq 4$ faces.

Finish in homework!
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler's Formula: \(v + f = e + 2\) for any planar drawing.

\[\Rightarrow\] for simple planar graphs: \(e \leq 3v - 6\).

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3. Count face-edge incidences.

\[\Rightarrow\] for bipartite simple planar graphs: \(e \leq 2v - 4\).

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4. Count face-edge incidences.

Proved absolutely no drawing can work for these graphs.

So...

...so...

Cool!
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: $v + f = e + 2$ for any planar drawing.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: $v + f = e + 2$ for any planar drawing.

$\implies$ for simple planar graphs: $e \leq 3v - 6$.

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \Rightarrow \text{ for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[ \implies \text{for bipartite simple planar graphs: } e \leq 2v - 4. \]
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: $v + f = e + 2$ for any planar drawing.

\[ \implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[ \implies \text{for bipartite simple planar graphs: } e \leq 2v - 4. \]

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: $v + f = e + 2$ for any planar drawing.

$\implies$ for simple planar graphs: $e \leq 3v - 6$.

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

$\implies$ for bipartite simple planar graphs: $e \leq 2v - 4$.

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.

Count face-edge incidences.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \Rightarrow \text{ for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[ \Rightarrow \text{ for bipartite simple planar graphs: } e \leq 2v - 4. \]

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.

Count face-edge incidences.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[\implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6.\]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[\implies \text{for bipartite simple planar graphs: } e \leq 2v - 4.\]

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.

Count face-edge incidences.

Proved absolutely no drawing can work for these graphs.
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \implies \text{for simple planar graphs: } e \leq 3v - 6. \]

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[ \implies \text{for bipartite simple planar graphs: } e \leq 2v - 4. \]

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.

Count face-edge incidences.

Proved absolutely no drawing can work for these graphs.

So...
Planarity and Euler

These graphs **cannot** be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: \( v + f = e + 2 \) for any planar drawing.

\[ \Rightarrow \] for simple planar graphs: \( e \leq 3v - 6 \).

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.

Count face-edge incidences.

\[ \Rightarrow \] for bipartite simple planar graphs: \( e \leq 2v - 4 \).

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.

Count face-edge incidences.

Proved absolutely no drawing can work for these graphs.

So......so ...
These graphs cannot be drawn in the plane without edge crossings.

Euler’s Formula: $v + f = e + 2$ for any planar drawing.

$\implies$ for simple planar graphs: $e \leq 3v - 6$.

Idea: Face is a cycle in graph of length 3.
Count face-edge incidences.

$\implies$ for bipartite simple planar graphs: $e \leq 2v - 4$.

Idea: face is a cycle in graph of length 4.
Count face-edge edge incidences.

Proved absolutely no drawing can work for these graphs.

So... so ... Cool!
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Proof:
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

Proof: Induction on $e$. 
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).

Base:
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Proof: Induction on \( e \).
Base: \( e = 0 \),
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$. 
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).
Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).
Induction Step:
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).

Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:
   - If it is a tree.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof**: Induction on \( e \).

Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:

If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, \ f = 1, \ v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
  - If it is a tree. $e = v - 1$, $f = 1$, $v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
  - If not a tree.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
   - If it is a tree. $e = v - 1$, $f = 1$, $v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
   - If not a tree.
     Find a cycle.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

Proof: Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0, v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
If it is a tree. $e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
If not a tree.
   Find a cycle. Remove edge.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).

Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
- If not a tree.
  - Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[
\begin{align*}
& \vdots \\
& \text{Outer face.}
\end{align*}
\]

Joins two faces.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.

Base: $e = 0, v = f = 1$.

Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. $e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
- If not a tree.
  - Find a cycle. Remove edge.
  
  ![Diagram of a cycle with edges and faces](image)
  
  Outer face. $f1$
  
  Joins two faces.
  
  New graph: $v$-vertices.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

Proof: Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0, v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
   If it is a tree. $e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
   If not a tree.
      Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[ \text{Joins two faces.} \]
\[ \text{New graph: } v\text{-vertices. } e - 1 \text{ edges.} \]
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.

Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$.

Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. $e = v - 1$, $f = 1$, $v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
- If not a tree.
  - Find a cycle. Remove edge.
    - Outer face.
    - Joins two faces.
    - New graph: $v$-vertices. $e - 1$ edges. $f - 1$ faces.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).
Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:
If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, \ f = 1, \ v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
If not a tree.
Find a cycle. Remove edge.

Joins two faces.
New graph: \( v \)-vertices. \( e - 1 \) edges. \( f - 1 \) faces. Planar.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).
Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).
Induction Step:
\begin{itemize}
  \item If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, \ f = 1, \ v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
  \item If not a tree.
\end{itemize}

Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[ \text{Outer face.} \]

Joins two faces.
New graph: \( v \)-vertices. \( e - 1 \) edges. \( f - 1 \) faces. Planar.
\( v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2 \) by induction hypothesis.
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
   If it is a tree. $e = v - 1$, $f = 1$, $v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
   If not a tree.
      Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Outer face.}
\end{align*}
\]

Joins two faces.
New graph: $v$-vertices. $e - 1$ edges. $f - 1$ faces. Planar.
$v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2$ by induction hypothesis.
Therefore $v + f = e + 2$. 
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).
Base: \( e = 0, v = f = 1 \).
Induction Step:
   If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
   If not a tree.
      Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\text{\( f_1 \)} \\
\vdots \\
\end{array} \]

Outer face.

Joins two faces.
New graph: \( v \)-vertices. \( e - 1 \) edges. \( f - 1 \) faces. Planar.
\[ v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2 \] by induction hypothesis.
Therefore \( v + f = e + 2 \). \qed
Euler’s formula.

Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

**Proof:** Induction on $e$.
Base: $e = 0$, $v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. $e = v - 1$, $f = 1$, $v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
- If not a tree.
  Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\end{array} \\
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\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
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Euler’s formula.

**Euler**: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof**: Induction on \( e \).

Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, \ f = 1, \ v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
- If not a tree.
  - Find a cycle. Remove edge.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Outer face.} \\
\text{Joins two faces.}
\end{array}
\]

New graph: \( v \)-vertices. \( e - 1 \) edges. \( f - 1 \) faces. Planar.

\( v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2 \) by induction hypothesis.

Therefore \( v + f = e + 2 \).

\( \square \) Again:

Euler: \( v + f = e + 2 \).
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Base: $e = 0, v = f = 1$.
Induction Step:
  If it is a tree. $e = v - 1, f = 1, v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2$. Yes.
  If not a tree.
    Find a cycle. Remove edge.

$$\vdots$$

Joins two faces.
New graph: $v$-vertices. $e - 1$ edges. $f - 1$ faces. Planar.
$v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2$ by induction hypothesis.
Therefore $v + f = e + 2$.

Again:
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Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

**Proof:** Induction on \( e \).

Base: \( e = 0, \ v = f = 1 \).

Induction Step:
- If it is a tree. \( e = v - 1, \ f = 1, \ v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \). Yes.
- If not a tree.
  - Find a cycle. Remove edge.
  
  ![Diagram of a graph with a cycle and an edge removed]

  Joins two faces.
  New graph: \( v \)-vertices. \( e - 1 \) edges. \( f - 1 \) faces. Planar.
  \( v + (f - 1) = (e - 1) + 2 \) by induction hypothesis.
  Therefore \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Euler: \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Tree satisfies formula: \( v + 1 = (v - 1) + 2 \)
adding edge adds face: \( e \rightarrow e + 1, \ f \rightarrow f + 1 \).
Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Steps/concepts in proof of euler’s formula.
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Euler: Connected planar graph has $v + f = e + 2$.

Steps/concepts in proof of Euler’s formula.

(A) Planar drawing of tree has 1 face.
(B) Tree has $|V| - 1$ edges.
(C) Induction.
(D) Face is adjacent to at least 3 edges.
(E) Edge has two edge-vertex incidences.
(F) Add edge to planar drawing splits a face.
Euler: Connected planar graph has \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Steps/concepts in proof of euler’s formula.

(A) Planar drawing of tree has 1 face.
(B) Tree has \(|V| - 1\) edges.
(C) Induction.
(D) face is adjacent to at least 3 edges.
(E) edge has two edge-vertex incidences.
(F) Add edge to planar drawing splits a face.

All are true and all are relevant to the proof, though (E) is more analogous than direct.
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Interesting things to do.
Graph Coloring.

Given $G = (V, E)$, a coloring of $G$ assigns colors to vertices $V$ where for each edge the endpoints have different colors.

Notice that the last one, has one three colors.
   Fewer colors than number of vertices.
   Fewer colors than max degree node.

Interesting things to do. Algorithm!
Planar graphs and maps.

Planar graph coloring $\equiv$ map coloring.
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Planar graph coloring $\equiv$ map coloring.

Four color theorem is about planar graphs!
Theorem: Every planar graph can be colored with six colors.
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Recall: \( e \leq 3v - 6 \) for any planar graph where \( v > 2 \).
From Euler’s Formula.

Total degree: \( 2e \)

Average degree: \( \frac{2e}{v} \leq \frac{2(3v-6)}{v} \leq 6 - \frac{12}{v} \).

There exists a vertex with degree \( < 6 \) or at most 5.

Remove vertex \( v \) of degree at most 5.
Inductively color remaining graph.
Color is available for \( v \) since only five neighbors...
Six color theorem.

**Theorem:** Every planar graph can be colored with six colors.

**Proof:**
Recall: \( e \leq 3v - 6 \) for any planar graph where \( v > 2 \).
From Euler’s Formula.

Total degree: \( 2e \)

Average degree: \[ \frac{2e}{v} \leq \frac{2(3v-6)}{v} \leq 6 - \frac{12}{v}. \]

There exists a vertex with degree < 6 or at most 5.

Remove vertex \( v \) of degree at most 5.
Inductively color remaining graph.
Color is available for \( v \) since only five neighbors... and only five colors are used.
Six color theorem.

**Theorem:** Every planar graph can be colored with six colors.

**Proof:**
Recall: $e \leq 3v - 6$ for any planar graph where $v > 2$.
    From Euler’s Formula.
Total degree: $2e$
Average degree: $\frac{2e}{v} \leq \frac{2(3v-6)}{v} \leq 6 - \frac{12}{v}$.
There exists a vertex with degree $< 6$ or at most 5.
    Remove vertex $v$ of degree at most 5.
    Inductively color remaining graph.
    Color is available for $v$ since only five neighbors...
    and only five colors are used.
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Theorem: Every planar graph can be colored with five colors.

Preliminary Observation: Connected components of vertices with two colors in a legal coloring can switch colors.

Proof: Again with the degree 5 vertex. Again recurse.

Assume neighbors are colored all differently.
Otherwise one of 5 colors is available.

What color is it?
Must be blue or green to be on that path.
Must be red or orange to be on that path.
Contradiction.
Can recolor one of the neighbors.
Gives an available color for center vertex!
Five color theorem

Theorem: Every planar graph can be colored with five colors.

Preliminary Observation: Connected components of vertices with two colors in a legal coloring can switch colors.

Proof: Again with the degree 5 vertex. Again recurse.

Assume neighbors are colored all differently. Otherwise one of 5 colors is available. \( \implies \) Done!

\[\text{Diagram with colored vertices and edges}\]
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Switch orange and red in oranges component.

Done. Unless red-orange path to red.

Planar. $\implies$ paths intersect at a vertex!
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Must be blue or green to be on that path.

Must be red or orange to be on that path.

Contradiction. Can recolor one of the neighbors.
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Five color theorem

Theorem: Every planar graph can be colored with five colors.

Preliminary Observation: Connected components of vertices with two colors in a legal coloring can switch colors.

Proof: Again with the degree 5 vertex. Again recurse.

Assume neighbors are colored all differently. Otherwise one of 5 colors is available. \( \Rightarrow \) Done!

Switch green and blue in green’s component.
Done. Unless blue-green path to blue.

Switch orange and red in oranges component.
Done. Unless red-orange path to red.

Planar. \( \Rightarrow \) paths intersect at a vertex!

What color is it?
Must be blue or green to be on that path.
Must be red or orange to be on that path.

Contradiction. Can recolor one of the neighbors. Gives an available color for center vertex!
Steps/ideas in 5-color theorem.
(A) There is a degree 5 vertex cuz Euler.
(B) Take subgraph of first and third colors, recolor first components.
(C) If a third’s component is different, switched coloring is good.
(D) Subgraph of second and fourth colors, can recolor, recolor second component.
(G) At least one separate component cuz planarity.
(F) Shared color of five neighbors, done.
Steps/ideas in 5-color theorem.
(A) There is a degree 5 vertex cuz Euler.
(B) Take subgraph of first and third colors, recolor first components.
(C) If a third’s component is different, switched coloring is good.
(D) Subgraph of second and fourth colors, can recolor, recolor second component.
(G) At least one separate component cuz planarity.
(F) Shared color of five neighbors, done.

All steps in proof!
Four Color Theorem
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Proof:
Theorem: Any planar graph can be colored with four colors.

Proof: Not Today!
Four Color Theorem

**Theorem:** Any planar graph can be colored with four colors.

**Proof:** Not Today!
Complete Graph.

$K_n$ complete graph on $n$ vertices.

How many edges?

Each vertex is incident to $n - 1$ edges.

Sum of degrees is $n(n - 1) = 2|E| = \Rightarrow$ Number of edges is $n(n - 1)/2$. 
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All edges are present.
Complete Graph.
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$|E| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. 
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Complete Graph.

$K_n$ complete graph on $n$ vertices.
  All edges are present.
  Everyone is my neighbor.
  Each vertex is adjacent to every other vertex.

How many edges?
  Each vertex is incident to $n - 1$ edges.
  Sum of degrees is $n(n - 1) = 2|E|$
  $\implies$ Number of edges is $n(n - 1)/2$. 
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$K_4$ and $K_5$

$K_5$ is not planar.
Cannot be drawn in the plane without an edge crossing!
Prove it! We did!
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Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges. 
\[ |V|(|V| - 1)/2 \]
Trees, few edges. \((|V| - 1)\)
but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected. \(|V| \log |V|\) edges! 
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

\[ G = (V, E) \]
\[ |V| = \{0, 1\}^n, \]
\[ |E| = \{(x, y)|x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.}\} \]

2\(^n\) vertices.
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Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges. 
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Hypercubes.

Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges.

$$|V|(|V| - 1)/2$$

Trees, few edges. ($|V| - 1$)
but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected. $|V| \log |V|$ edges!
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

$$G = (V, E)$$
$$|V| = \{0, 1\}^n,$$
$$|E| = \{(x, y) | x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.}\}$$

$2^n$ vertices. number of $n$-bit strings!
$n2^{n-1}$ edges.

$2^n$ vertices each of degree $n$
Hypercubes.

Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges.
\[ |V|(|V| - 1)/2 \]
Trees, few edges. \(|V| - 1\) but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected. \(|V| \log |V|\) edges!
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

\[ G = (V, E) \]
\[ |V| = \{0, 1\}^n, \]
\[ |E| = \{ (x, y) | x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.} \} \]

2\(^n\) vertices. number of \(n\)-bit strings!
\(n2^{n-1}\) edges.
\(2^n\) vertices each of degree \(n\)
total degree is \(n2^n\)
Hypercubes.

Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges.
\[ |V|(|V| - 1)/2 \]
Trees, few edges. \(|V| - 1\)
but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected. \(|V| \log |V|\) edges!
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

\[ G = (V, E) \]
\[ |V| = \{0, 1\}^n, \]
\[ |E| = \{(x, y)|x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.}\} \]

2\(^n\) vertices. number of \(n\)-bit strings!
n\(2^{n-1}\) edges.
\[ 2^n \text{ vertices each of degree } n \]
\[ \text{total degree is } n2^n \text{ and half as many edges!} \]
Hypercubes.

Complete graphs, really connected! But lots of edges.
\[ |V|(|V| - 1)/2 \]
Trees, few edges. \(|V| - 1\)
but just falls apart!

Hypercubes. Really connected. \(|V| \log |V|\) edges!
Also represents bit-strings nicely.

\[
G = (V, E)
\]

\[ |V| = \{0, 1\}^n, \]
\[ |E| = \{(x, y)|x \text{ and } y \text{ differ in one bit position.}\} \]

\[ 2^n \text{ vertices. number of } n\text{-bit strings!} \]
\[ n2^{n-1} \text{ edges.} \]
\[ 2^n \text{ vertices each of degree } n \]
\[ \text{total degree is } n2^n \text{ and half as many edges!} \]
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A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.
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A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.

An $n$-dimensional hypercube consists of a 0-subcube (1-subcube) which is a $n-1$-dimensional hypercube with nodes labelled $0x$ ($1x$) with the additional edges $(0x, 1x)$.
Recursive Definition.

A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.

An $n$-dimensional hypercube consists of a 0-subcube (1-subcube) which is a $n-1$-dimensional hypercube with nodes labelled $0x$ ($1x$) with the additional edges $(0x, 1x)$. 

\[ \text{Diagram of a 3-dimensional hypercube} \]
Hypercube: Can’t cut me!

**Theorem:**
Any subset $S$ of the hypercube where $|S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$ has $\geq |S|$ edges connecting it to $V - S$;

**Terminology:**
- $(S, V - S)$ is a cut.
- $(E \cap S \times (V - S))$-cut edges.

**Restatement:** for any cut in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.
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Thm: Any subset $S$ of the hypercube where $|S| \leq |V|/2$ has $\geq |S|$ edges connecting it to $V - S$; $|E \cap S \times (V - S)| \geq |S|$.

Terminology:
(S, V − S) is cut.
(E ∩ S × (V − S)) - cut edges.
**Thm:** Any subset $S$ of the hypercube where $|S| \leq |V|/2$ has $\geq |S|$ edges connecting it to $V - S$; $|E \cap S \times (V - S)| \geq |S|$

Terminology:
- $(S, V - S)$ is cut.
- $(E \cap S \times (V - S))$ - cut edges.

Restatement: for any cut in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.
Cuts in graphs.

$S$ is red, $V - S$ is blue.
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Cuts in graphs.

$S$ is red, $V - S$ is blue.

What is size of cut?

Number of edges between red and blue. 4.

Hypercube: any cut that cuts off $x$ nodes has $\geq x$ edges.
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\(S = \{0\}\) has one edge leaving.
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**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

**Proof:**
Base Case: \(n = 1\) \(V = \{0,1\}\).

\(S = \{0\}\) has one edge leaving. \(|S| = \phi\) has 0.
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Use recursive definition into two subcubes.
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Recursive definition:
Induction Step

**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** *Induction Step.*

Recursive definition:

\[ H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \] edges \(E_x\) that connect them.
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Induction Step

**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step.**
Recursive definition:
\[
H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \text{ edges } E_x \text{ that connect them.}
\]
\[
H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)
\]
\[
S = S_0 \cup S_1 \text{ where } S_0 \text{ in first, and } S_1 \text{ in other.}
\]

**Case 1:** \(|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\)
Both \(S_0\) and \(S_1\) are small sides. So by induction.

Edges cut in \(H_0 \geq |S_0|\).
**Induction Step**

**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step.**
Recursive definition:
\[
H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \text{ edges } E_x \text{ that connect them.}
\]
\[
H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)
\]
\[
S = S_0 \cup S_1 \text{ where } S_0 \text{ in first, and } S_1 \text{ in other.}
\]

**Case 1:** \(|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\)
Both \(S_0\) and \(S_1\) are small sides. So by induction.
- Edges cut in \(H_0 \geq |S_0|\).
- Edges cut in \(H_1 \geq |S_1|\).
Induction Step

**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step.**

Recursive definition:
\[
H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \text{ edges } E_x \text{ that connect them.}
\]
\[
H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)
\]
\[
S = S_0 \cup S_1 \text{ where } S_0 \text{ in first, and } S_1 \text{ in other.}
\]

**Case 1:** \(|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\)

Both \(S_0\) and \(S_1\) are small sides. So by induction.

- Edges cut in \(H_0 \geq |S_0|\).
- Edges cut in \(H_1 \geq |S_1|\).
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step.

Recursive definition:

\[
H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \text{edges } E_x \text{ that connect them.}
\]

\[
H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)
\]

\[
S = S_0 \cup S_1 \text{ where } S_0 \text{ in first, and } S_1 \text{ in other.}
\]

**Case 1:** \(|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\)

Both \(S_0\) and \(S_1\) are small sides. So by induction.

- Edges cut in \(H_0 \geq |S_0|\).
- Edges cut in \(H_1 \geq |S_1|\).

Total cut edges \(\geq |S_0| + |S_1| = |S|\).
Thm: For any cut (S, V − S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, |S|.

Proof: Induction Step.
Recursive definition:
\[ H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1), \text{edges } E_x \text{ that connect them.} \]
\[ H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x) \]
\[ S = S_0 \cup S_1 \text{ where } S_0 \text{ in first, and } S_1 \text{ in other.} \]

Case 1:  \(|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\)
Both \(S_0\) and \(S_1\) are small sides. So by induction.
Edges cut in \(H_0\) \(\geq |S_0|\).
Edges cut in \(H_1\) \(\geq |S_1|\).

Total cut edges \(\geq |S_0| + |S_1| = |S|\).
Thm: For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).


\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]
**Thm:** For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, $|S|$.

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

- $|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2$.

Recall Case 1: $|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2$

- $|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2$ since $|S| \leq |V|/2$. 

Edges in $E_x$ connect corresponding nodes.
**Thm:** For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, $|S|$.

**Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.**

\[ |S_0| \geq \frac{|V_0|}{2}. \]

Recall Case 1: $|S_0|, |S_1| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$

\[ |S_1| \leq \frac{|V_1|}{2} \text{ since } |S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}. \]

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.\]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|,|S_1| \leq |V|/2\)
\[|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\] since \(|S| \leq |V|/2\).
\[\implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.\]
\[|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2\]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[
|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.
\]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)

\[
|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2.
\]

\[\implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.\]

\[
|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2
\]

\[\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0.\]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.**

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)

\[ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2. \]

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2 \]

\[ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[
|S_0| \geq \frac{|V_0|}{2}.
\]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}
\]

\[
|S_1| \leq \frac{|V_1|}{2} \text{ since } |S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}.
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.
\]

\[
|S_0| \geq \frac{|V_0|}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |V_0 - S| \leq \frac{|V_0|}{2}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0.
\]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\[
\Rightarrow = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x.
\]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.**

\(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.\)

Recall Case 1: 
\(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)
\(|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\) since \(|S| \leq |V|/2.\)
\(\implies \geq |S_1|\) edges cut in \(E_1.\)

\(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2\)
\(\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0|\) edges cut in \(E_0.\)

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.
\(\implies = |S_0| - |S_1|\) edges cut in \(E_x.\)
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.**

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)

\[ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \]

since \(|S| \leq |V|/2\).

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2 \]

\[ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\[ \implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x. \]

Total edges cut:
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)
\[ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2. \]
\[ \Rightarrow \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]
\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \Rightarrow |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2 \]
\[ \Rightarrow \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.
\[ \Rightarrow = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x. \]

Total edges cut:
\[ \geq \]
Induction Step. Case 2.

**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.\]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)
\(|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\) since \(|S| \leq |V|/2\).
\[\implies \geq |S_1|\) edges cut in \(E_1\).

\[|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2\]
\[\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0|\) edges cut in \(E_0\).

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.
\[\implies = |S_0| - |S_1|\) edges cut in \(E_x\).

Total edges cut:
\[\geq |S_1|\]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2

\(|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2.

\(\implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.

\(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2

\(\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0.

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\(\implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x.

Total edges cut:

\(\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0|\)
**Thm:** For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, $|S|$.

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[ |S_0| \geq \frac{|V_0|}{2}. \]

Recall Case 1: $|S_0|, |S_1| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$

\[ |S_1| \leq \frac{|V_1|}{2} \text{ since } |S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}. \]

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]

\[ |S_0| \geq \frac{|V_0|}{2} \implies |V_0 - S| \leq \frac{|V_0|}{2} \]

\[ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in $E_x$ connect corresponding nodes.

\[ \implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x. \]

Total edges cut:

\[ \geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| \]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)

\[ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2. \]

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2 \]

\[ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\[ \implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x. \]

Total edges cut:

\[ \geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0| \]
Thm: For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).


- \(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2\).
- \(|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\) since \(|S| \leq |V|/2\).
- \(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2\).
- \(|V_0 - S| \geq |V_0| - |S_0|\) edges cut in \(E_0\).

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\(|V_0| - |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0|\).

Total edges cut:

\[ |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0| \]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2. \]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2 \]

\[ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2. \]

\[ \implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1. \]

\[ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2 \]

\[ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0. \]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\[ \implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x. \]

Total edges cut:

\[ \geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0| \]

\[ |V_0| = |V|/2 \geq |S|. \]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

\[
|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.
\]

Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)

\[
|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \text{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2.
\]

\[
\implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.
\]

\[
|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2
\]

\[
\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0.
\]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.

\[
\implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x.
\]

Total edges cut:

\[
\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0|\]

\[
|V_0| = |V|/2 \geq |S|.
\]
**Thm:** For any cut \((S, V - S)\) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, \(|S|\).

**Proof:** Induction Step. Case 2.

|\(S_0||S_1|\|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2.\|
Recall Case 1: \(|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2\)
|\(|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2\) since \(|S| \leq |V|/2\).
\[
\implies \geq |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_1.
\]
|\(|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2\) \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2\)
\[
\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \text{ edges cut in } E_0.
\]

Edges in \(E_x\) connect corresponding nodes.
\[
\implies = |S_0| - |S_1| \text{ edges cut in } E_x.
\]

Total edges cut:
\[
\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0| = |V|/2 \geq |S|.
\]
Also, case 3 where \(|S_1| \geq |V|/2\) is symmetric.
The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on $\{0, 1\}^n$. 
The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on $\{0, 1\}^n$.

Central area of study in computer science!
Hypercubes and Boolean Functions.

The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on \(\{0, 1\}^n\).

Central area of study in computer science!

Yes/No Computer Programs \(\equiv\) Boolean function on \(\{0, 1\}^n\)
The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on \( \{0, 1\}^n \).

Central area of study in computer science!

Yes/No Computer Programs \( \equiv \) Boolean function on \( \{0, 1\}^n \)

Central object of study.
Summary.

Euler: \( v + f = e + 2 \).

Tree. Plus adding edge adds face.
Summary.

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$.
   Tree. Plus adding edge adds face.
Planar graphs: $e \leq 3v = 6$.
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Planar graphs: \( e \leq 3v = 6 \).
Count face-edge incidences to get \( 2e \leq 3f \).
Replace \( f \) in Euler.
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degree \( d \) vertex can be colored if \( d + 1 \) colors.
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Recolor separate and planarity: 5 color theorem.
Summary.

Euler: $v + f = e + 2$.
Tree. Plus adding edge adds face.
Planar graphs: $e \leq 3v = 6$.
Count face-edge incidences to get $2e \leq 3f$.
Replace $f$ in Euler.

Coloring:
degree $d$ vertex can be colored if $d + 1$ colors.
Small degree vertex in planar graph: 6 color theorem.
Recolor separate and planarity: 5 color theorem.

Graphs:
Trees: sparsest connected.
Complete: densest
Hypercube: middle.