# Extended GCD Algorithm, Chinese Remainder Theorem, Fermat's Little Theorem

CS70: Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory

UC Berkeley – Summer 2025

Lecture 8

Ref: Notes 6 and 7

### Today

Extending the basic Euclid GCD algorithm
Computing additional useful values along the way
Using these values to find multiplicative inverses
Other uses of Euclid: Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Chinese Remainder Theorem

Mapping from one modulus to two (or several)

Use in speeding up computations with composite moduli

Fermat's Little Theorem
Powers with a prime modulus
A few tricks enabled by Fermat's Little Theorem

# Euclid's GCD Algorithm - Recap

```
def euclid(x, y):
    if y == 0:
        return x

return euclid(y, x % y)
```

**Theorem:** euclid (x, y) correctly computes gcd(x, y).

**Run time:** When  $x \ge y$ , euclid takes at most  $2\log_2 x$  steps  $\Rightarrow$  This is linear in the *number of bits* of x (That's fast!)

Can quickly tell if there is a multiplicative inverse for  $x \mod m$ 

Next Problem: So how do we compute the inverse?

#### **Extended GCD**

**Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem:** For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax + by = d where  $d = \gcd(x, y)$ .

Just about existence – we'll talk about computing a and b later!

Re-stated: "We can make d out of sum of multiples of x and y."

Relation to multiplicative inverse of *x* modulo *m*?

We have gcd(x, m) = 1 (otherwise no inverse!), so there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$ax + bm = 1$$
  $\Longrightarrow bm = 1 - ax$   $\Longrightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 

So a is the multiplicative inverse of  $x \pmod{m}$ !

**Example:** For x = 12 and m = 35, we have gcd(12,35) = 1, so inverse exists.

Values a = 3 and b = -1, since  $3 \cdot 12 + (-1) \cdot 35 = 1$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  Multiplicative inverse of 12 (mod 35) is a, or 3.

Check:  $3 \cdot 12 = 36$  and  $36 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$ .

# Pulling Multiples of x and y Out of GCD Computation

```
euclid(35,12)
  euclid(12, 11) ;; euclid(12, 35%12)
  euclid(11, 1) ;; euclid(11, 12%11)
      euclid(1,0)
      1
```

How did euclid get 11 from 35 and 12? 11 = 35 mod 12 Another view of this operation:  $35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor$  12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?

$$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = \frac{12}{12} - \frac{11}{11} = \frac{11}{11}$$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.

$$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35$$

Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... collect multiples of 12 and 35...

Finally: a = 3 and b = -1.

### Extended GCD Algorithm

```
def extgcd(x, y):
    if y == 0:
        return (x, 1, 0)

    (d, a, b) = extgcd(y, x % y)
    return (d, b, a - b*(x // y)) # Note: // is integer division
```

Claim: Returns (d, a, b): d = gcd(x, y) and d = ax + by.

#### Example:

```
extgcd(35,12)
  extgcd(12, 11)
    extgcd(11, 1)
    extgcd(1,0)
    return (1,1,0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
    return (1,0,1) ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
  return (1,1,-1) ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
return (1,-1, 3) ;; 1 = (-1)35 + (3)12
```

# **Extended GCD Algorithm: Correctness**

```
def extgcd(x, y):
    if y == 0:
        return (x, 1, 0)

    (d, a, b) = extgcd(y, x % y)
    return (d, b, a - b*(x // y)) # Note: // is integer division
```

**Theorem:** extgcd (x, y returns (d, a, b), where d = gcd(a, b) and d = ax + by.

**Proof:** Computation of d is exactly as before, so  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . We prove the remaining property by (strong) induction on y.

Base case (y = 0): extgcd (x, 0) returns (x,1,0), we know x = d and  $1 \cdot x + 0 \cdot 0 = x$ 

### Extended GCD Algorithm: Correctness continued

Induction Hypothesis: Assume that for all  $x' \ge y'$  and y' < y, extgcd(x', y') returns (d, a, b) with  $d = a \cdot x' + b \cdot y'$ .

*Induction Step:* We prove that at y, extgcd(x,y) returns (d,A,B) with  $d = A \cdot x + B \cdot y$ .

Makes a recursive call for  $extgcd(y, x \mod y)$ . Since  $(x \mod y) < y$  the induction hypothesis states that this returns (d, a, b) with  $a \cdot y + b \cdot (x \mod y) = d$ .

Given this value from the recursive call, extgcd returns (d, A, B) calculated as A = b and  $B = a - b \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{v} \rfloor$  (from the algorithm).

$$A \cdot x + B \cdot y = b \cdot x + (a - b \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor) y$$

$$= b \cdot x + a \cdot y - b \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y$$

$$= a \cdot y + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y)$$

$$= a \cdot y + b \cdot (x \mod y)$$

This last formula matches the induction hypothesis, so is equal to d.

### Non-Recursive Hand Calculation Method

Example for 7 and 60 — note gcd(7,60) = 1

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60 (1)$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$
 (2)

Idea: subtract largest multiple of the second one you can keeping RHS smaller

That multiple is  $\lfloor \frac{60}{7} \rfloor = 8$ 

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60 (1) 
- 7(8) + 60(0) = 56 (2 multiple) 
7(-8) + 60(1) = 4 (3)$$

Do it again with (2) and (3) [multiple is  $\lfloor \frac{7}{4} \rfloor = 1$ 

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$
 (2)  
 $-7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$  (3 multiple)  
 $7(9) + 60(-1) = 3$  (4)

And again....

$$7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$$
 (3)  
 $-7(9) + 60(-1) = 3$  (4)  
 $7(-17) + 60(2) = 1$ 

Multiplicative inverse of 7 (mod 60) is  $-17 \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$ 

### Wrap-up of Computing Multiplicative Inverses

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses with n-bit modulus in O(n) time!

Very different from grade school: try 1, try 2, try 3... optimized:  $2^{n/2}$  time.

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

 $\leq$  80 divisions. versus 1,000,000

Soon we'll see cryptography that uses very large numbers

Example: Numbers with 1024 bits

Euclid: At most 2048 divisions to find multiplicative inverse

This kind of cryptography is impossible without an algorithm like Euclid's.

#### **Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic**

Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem is useful for things beyond computation.

**Theorem:** Every natural number can be written as the product of primes.

**Proof:** Uses strong induction – existence of product of primes:

Case 1: n is prime. Done.

Case 2: n is not prime, so can be written as  $n = a \cdot b$ . By IH, both a and b can be written as the product of primes.

**Theorem:** The prime factorization of *n* is unique up to reordering.

Proof idea: We use Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem!

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Every natural number can be written as a unique (up to reordering) product of primes.

#### **Euclid For Proofs About Shared Factors**

**Claim:** For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with gcd(x, y) = 1 and  $x \mid yz$  then  $x \mid z$ .

Idea (restatement): x doesn't share factors with y so it must divide z.

Euclid: There exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 = ax + by \implies z = axz + byz$ .

Observe:  $x \mid axz$  (obviously) and  $x \mid byz$  (since  $x \mid yz$ ), and x divides the sum.  $\Rightarrow x \mid axz + byz$ , and since axz + byz = z we have  $x \mid z$ .

So to prove Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:

Proof by contradiction: Assume two factorizations  $p_1 \cdots p_k$  and  $q_1 \cdots q_\ell$  Induction:  $p_1$  divides both (same number).

Using claim:  $p_1$  divides  $q_1 \cdot q_{\ell-1}$  or  $q_\ell$ .

Conclusion:  $p_1 = q_i$  for some i.

#### Values Modulo Product of Two Primes

X	<i>x</i> mod 3	<i>x</i> mod 5
0	0	0
1	1	1
3	2	3
	0	3
4 5	1	4
	2	0
6 7	0	1
7	1	2
8	2	3
9	0	4
10	1	0
11	2	1
12	0	2
13	1	3 4
14	2	4

Table shows x from 0 to 14 – so  $x \pmod{15}$ 

Any x with  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ ?

Any x with  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ ?

x any a, b:  $x \equiv a \pmod{3}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{5}$ ? Yes! Check all – or prove a general theorem!

# Chinese Remainder Theorem (2 modulus version)

```
Theorem: For m, n with gcd(m, n) = 1, and any a, b, there is exactly one x \in \{0, 1, ..., mn - 1\} with x \equiv a \pmod{m} and x \equiv b \pmod{n}.
```

Note: Previous table had m = 3, n = 5, two primes. The requirement isn't so strict: m and n only need to be relatively prime. (Example on next slide...)

**Proof:** First consider existence of a solution.

$$\gcd(n,m)=1$$
 so compute  $s=n^{-1}\pmod{m}$ , and consider integer  $u=s\cdot n$ 

$$u\mod m=1 \qquad u\mod n=0$$

Similarly, compute 
$$t = m^{-1} \pmod{n}$$
, and consider  $v = t \cdot m$   
 $v \mod n = 1$   $v \mod m = 0$ 

Now compute 
$$x = (a \cdot u + b \cdot v) \mod mn$$

Consider mod 
$$m$$
:  $x \equiv a \cdot u + b \cdot v \equiv a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0 \equiv a \pmod{m}$ 

Consider mod 
$$n$$
:  $x \equiv a \cdot u + b \cdot v \equiv a \cdot 0 + b \cdot 1 \equiv b \pmod{n}$ 

Unique: For any  $x \in \{0, 1, ..., mn-1\}$  compute  $a = x \mod m$  and  $b = x \mod n$ Can map  $x \mapsto (a, b)$  and  $(a, b) \mapsto x$ 

```
⇒ Mapping is a bijection (one-to-one) so solution is unique.
```

# Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Proof that solution *x* exists was constructive, so can use it as to compute

```
Ex: Let's find x \pmod{1155} with x \equiv 17 \pmod{33} and x \equiv 14 \pmod{35}
   So n = 33, m = 35, nm = 1155, a = 17, and b = 14
   \Rightarrow Note! n and m are not prime – but are relatively prime!
   We typically use prime moduli, but this is not required!
Compute s = n^{-1} \pmod{m} = 33^{-1} \pmod{35} This is 17
   Computed using extgcd: Check 33 \cdot 17 = 561 = 16 \cdot 35 + 1
   u = s \cdot n = 17 \cdot 35 = 595
Compute t = m^{-1} \pmod{n} = 35^{-1} \pmod{33} This is 17 (coincidence!)
   v = t \cdot m = 17 \cdot 33 = 561
Finally, compute a \cdot u + b \cdot v = 17 \cdot 595 + 14 \cdot 561 = 17696
   Then reduce: x = 17969 \mod 1155 = 644
Did it really work?
```

644 mod 33 = 17 (since  $644 = 19 \cdot 33 + 17$ )

### Chinese Remainder Theorem: Extension and Uses

#### Extension

No need to restrict to just two moduli

Use  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_k$  that have  $gcd(m_i, m_i) = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$  (pairwise co-prime)

Let 
$$m = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdots m_k$$

Given values  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ 

... a unique solution  $x \pmod m$  such that  $x_1 \equiv x \mod m_1$ ,  $x_2 \equiv x \mod m_2$ , ...

#### A Practical Use

For input x, we want to do some long computation  $f(x) \mod mn$  (e.g, powering)

#### Instead:

- 1. Compute  $x_m = x \mod m$
- 2. Compute  $x_n = x \mod n$
- 3. Compute  $y_m = f(x_m) \mod m$
- 4. Compute  $y_n = f(x_n) \mod n$
- 5. Combine results  $y_m$  and  $y_n$  using CRT to find result  $y \pmod{mn}$

Steps 3 and 4 work on smaller numbers, so can be faster overall

If steps 3 and 4 an be done in parallel can be much faster!

Hardware accelerators for cryptography use this!

### Playing with Numbers... Just Because...

Recall proof that  $gcd(x, m) = 1 \implies x$  has a mult inverse mod  $m \Rightarrow$  Looked at products 0x, 1x, ..., (m-1)x (all mod m)

Showed that products contain exactly one copy of every value 0, 1, ..., m-1

Remember Steve's advice? Be exploratory. Be playful.

What else can we do with these products?

What if we multiplied all the non-zero values together? Why? Why not?

Products just rearrange all values, so equal to product of all values...

$$1x \cdot 2x \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1)x \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1) \pmod{m}$$
$$(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1))x^{m-1} \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1) \pmod{m}$$

Wouldn't it be cool if we could cancel out  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1)$  from both sides? To do that, need a multiplicative inverse or  $\gcd(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1), m) = 1$  True if and only if m is prime – this seems important...

Congratulations! By being playful, you are as good a mathematician as Fermat! *If only it were really that easy....* 

### Fermat's Little Theorem

**Fermat's Little**<sup>†</sup> **Theorem:** For prime p, and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof:** Consider  $S = \{a \cdot 1, ..., a \cdot (p-1)\}$ . All different modulo p since a has an inverse modulo p (so multiplying by a is a bijection). Therefore

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p},$$

since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1\cdots(p-1))\equiv (1\cdots(p-1))\pmod{p}.$$

Since p is prime, its smallest factor > 1 is p, and so  $1 \cdots (p-1)$  is relatively prime to p and hence has a multiplicative inverse. Multiply each side above by this multiplicative inverse to get

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

<sup>†</sup> Not Fermat's Last Theorem. Yes, both "FLT." Yes, can be confusing.

### **Proof Illustration with Numbers**

```
We'll use p = 5 and a = 2
First sequence: 1,2,3,4
Second sequence: (2 \cdot 1), (2 \cdot 2), (2 \cdot 3), (2 \cdot 4) = 2,4,1,3 \pmod{5}.
    Multiply LHS and simplify: (2 \cdot 1) \cdot (2 \cdot 2) \cdot (2 \cdot 3) \cdot (2 \cdot 4) = 2^4 (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4)
    Multiply RHS and reorder: 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4
        Because multiplication is commutative
Was the same sequence mod 5, so 2^4 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \pmod{5}
Since 5 is prime, no shared factors with any of 1, 2, 3, or 4
    \Rightarrow \gcd(1\cdot 2\cdot 3\cdot 4,5)=1
    \Rightarrow 1 · 2 · 3 · 4 has a mult inverse mod 5, so can cancel out
Therefore, 2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}
Really? 2^4 = 16 and 16 \mod 5 = 1 - \text{so yes, really.}
```

# Concept Check!

Question: Which of the following was used in Fermat's theorem proof?

- (A) The mapping  $f(x) = ax \mod p$  is a bijection.
- (B) Multiplying a number by 1, gives the number.
- (C) When p is prime, gcd(p,(p-1)!) = 1
- (D) Multiplying a number by 0 gives 0.
- (E) Multiplying elements of sets A and B together is the same if A = B.

### Fermat's Little Theorem Tricks

**FLT:** For prime p, and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Trick #1: Simplifying powering by reducing the exponent.

What is  $2^{101} \pmod{7}$ ?

What is quotient and remainder dividing exponent (101) by p-1 (6)?

$$101 = 6 \cdot 16 + 5, \, \text{so} \,\, 2^{101} \equiv 2^{6 \cdot 16 + 5} \equiv \left(2^6\right)^{16} \cdot 2^5 \equiv 2^5 \equiv 32 \,\, \left(\text{mod } 7\right)$$

32 mod 7 = 4, so  $2^{101} \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ 

A bit easier than using  $2^{101} = 2535301200456458802993406410752$ 

Trick #2: Computing multiplicative inverses mod a prime p.

Note that 
$$a^{p-1} \equiv a \cdot a^{p-2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  so  $a^{p-2}$  mod p is the multiplicative inverse of a

Example: Multiplicative inverse of 4 (mod 7)?

$$4^5 = 1024$$
 and  $1024 \mod 7 = 2$ 

Using Python: "p=7; pow (4, p-2, p)" gives 2.

### Fermat's Little Theorem Almost-Tricks

**FLT:** For prime p, and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Trick #3: Almost.... Can we use FLT to test for primality?

Example: Is 5153642624137 prime?

Could try dividing things into it... slow.

Or:

```
>>> n=5153642624137
>>> pow(5, n-1, n)
15625
```

So  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ : n doesn't satisfy property all primes must So... n is not prime

Correct in this case, but will this always work? No - two problems:

- 1. For all composite *n*, *some* choices of *a* will give 1

  Solution: Usually... Less than half of a's, so pick at random (and repeat!)
- 2. For *some n*, formula holds for all *a*'s (Carmichael numbers) *Solution: A bit harder, but can solve....*

Result: Miller-Rabin primality testing algorithm

Berkeley connection! Based on Gary Miller's Ph.D. dissertation from Berkeley.

### Summary

```
Idea: compute a,b recursively (euclid), or iteratively Inverse: ax + by \equiv ax \equiv gcd(x,y) \pmod{y} If gcd(x,y) = 1, we have ax \equiv 1 \pmod{y} \longrightarrow a \equiv x^{-1} \pmod{y} Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Unique prime factorization of any n Claim: if p|n and n = xy, p|x of p|x.

Proof relies on Extended Euclid GCD Theorem Fundamental Theorem follows using induction + contradiction. Chinese
```

Extended Euclid: Find a, b where ax + by = gcd(x, y)

#### Remainder Theorem:

```
If gcd(n,m) = 1 then x = a \pmod n, x = b \pmod m unique sol.

Proof: Find u = 1 \pmod n, u = 0 \pmod m,

and v = 0 \pmod n, v = 1 \pmod m.

Then: x = au + bv = a \pmod n
```

Fermat: For prime p,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ Proof Idea:  $f(x) = a \cdot x \pmod{p}$  is bijection on  $S = \{1, ..., p-1\}$ .