1 Count It!

For each of the following collections, determine and briefly explain whether it is finite, countably infinite (like the natural numbers), or uncountably infinite (like the reals):

(a) $\mathbb{N}$, the set of all natural numbers.

(b) $\mathbb{Z}$, the set of all integers.

(c) $\mathbb{Q}$, the set of all rational numbers.

(d) $\mathbb{R}$, the set of all real numbers.

(e) The integers which divide 8.

(f) The integers which 8 divides.

(g) The functions from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$.

(h) Computer programs that halt.

(i) Numbers that are the roots of nonzero polynomials with integer coefficients.

Solution:

(a) Countable and infinite. See Lecture Note 10.

(b) Countable and infinite. See Lecture Note 10.

(c) Countable and infinite. See Lecture Note 10.

(d) Uncountable. This can be proved using a diagonalization argument, as shown in class. See Lecture Note 10.

(e) Finite. They are $\{-8,-4,-2,-1,1,2,4,8\}$.

(f) Countably infinite. We know that there exists a bijective function $f:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{Z}$. Then function $g(n)=8f(n)$ is a bijective mapping from $\mathbb{N}$ to integers which 8 divides.

(g) Uncountably infinite. We use the Cantor's Diagonalization Proof:

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the set of all functions from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$. We can represent a function $f\in\mathscr{F}$ as an infinite sequence $(f(0),f(1),\cdots)$, where the i-th element is $f(i)$. Suppose towards a contradiction that there is a bijection from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathscr{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longleftrightarrow (f_0(0), f_0(1), f_0(2), f_0(3), \dots) \\
1 &\longleftrightarrow (f_1(0), f_1(1), f_1(2), f_1(3), \dots) \\
2 &\longleftrightarrow (f_2(0), f_2(1), f_2(2), f_2(3), \dots) \\
3 &\longleftrightarrow (f_3(0), f_3(1), f_3(2), f_3(3), \dots) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ where $g(i) = f_i(i) + 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that the function g is not in our finite list of functions. Suppose for contradiction that it did, and that it was the n-th function $f_n(\cdot)$ in the list, i.e., $g(\cdot) = f_n(\cdot)$. However, $f_n(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ differ in the n-th number, i.e. $f_n(n) \neq g(n)$, because by our construction $g(n) = f_n(n) + 1$ (Contradiction!).

(h) Countably infinite. The total number of programs is countably infinite, since each can be viewed as a string of characters (so for example if we assume each character is one of the 256 possible values, then each program can be viewed as number in base 256, and we know these numbers are countably infinite). So the number of halting programs, which is a subset of all programs, can be either finite or countably infinite. But there are an infinite number of halting programs, for example for each number i the program that just prints i is different for each i. So the total number of halting programs is countably infinite. (Note also that this result together with the previous one in (g) implies that not every function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ can be written as a program.)

(i) Countably infinite. Polynomials with integer coefficients themselves are countably infinite. So let us list all polynomials with integer coefficients as $P_1, P_2, \dots$. We can label each root by a pair (i, j) corresponding to the j-th root of polynomial P_i (we can have an arbitrary ordering on the roots of each polynomial). This means that the roots of these polynomials can be mapped in an injective manner to $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ which we know is countably infinite. So this set is either finite or countably infinite. But every natural number n is in this set (it is the root of $x - n$). So this set is countably infinite.

2 Computability

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. Please justify your answers.

(a) The problem of determining whether a program halts in time 2^{n^2} on an input of size n is undecidable.

(b) There is no computer program `Line` which takes a program P, an input x, and a line number L, and determines whether the L^{th} line of code is executed when the program P is run on the input x.

Solution:

(a) False. You can simulate a program for 2^{n^2} steps and see if it halts.

Generally, we can always run a program for any fixed *finite* amount of time to see what it does. The problem of undecidability arises when no bounds on time are available.

(b) True.

We implement `Halt` which takes a program P, an input x and decides whether $P(x)$ halts, using `Line` as follows. We take the input P and modify it so that each exit or return statement jumps to a particular new line. Call the resulting program P'. We then hand that program to `Line` along with the input x and the number of the new line. If the original program halts than `Line` would return true, and if not `Line` would return false.

This contradicts the fact that the program `Halt` does not exist, so `Line` does not exist either.

There are two ways to show undecidability: 1. Use your program as a subroutine to solve a problem we know is undecidable or to do a diagonalization proof like we did for `Halt`. The former is natural for computer programmers and flows from the fact that you are given P as text! Therefore you can look at it and modify it. This is what the solution above does.

3 Hello World!

Determine the computability of the following tasks. If it's not computable, write a reduction or self-reference proof. If it is, write the program.

(a) You want to determine whether a program P on input x prints "Hello World!". Is there a computer program that can perform this task? Justify your answer.

(b) You want to determine whether a program P prints "Hello World!" before running the kth line in the program. Is there a computer program that can perform this task? Justify your answer.

(c) You want to determine whether a program P prints "Hello World!" in the first k steps of its execution. Is there a computer program that can perform this task? Justify your answer.

Solution:

(a) Uncomputable. We will reduce `TestHalt` to `PrintsHW`(P,x).

```
TestHalt(P, x):
  P'(x):
    run P(x) while suppressing print statements
    print("Hello World!")
  if PrintsHW(P', x):
    return true
  else:
    return false
```

If `PrintsHW` exists, `TestHalt` must also exist by this reduction. Since `TestHalt` cannot exist, `PrintsHW` cannot exist.

(b) Uncomputable. Reduce `PrintsHW`(P,x) from part (a) to this program `PrintsHWByK`(P,x,k).

```
PrintsHW(P, x):
  for i in range(len(P)):
    if PrintsHWByK(P, x, i):
      return true
  return false
```

(c) Computable. You can simply run the program until k steps are executed. If P has printed "Hello World!" by then, return true. Else, return false.

The reason that part (b) is uncomputable while part (c) is computable is that it's not possible to determine if we ever execute a specific line because this depends on the logic of the program, but the number of computer instructions can be counted.