

1 Geometric and Poisson

Let $X \sim \text{Geo}(p)$ and $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ be independent random variables. Compute $\mathbb{P}(X > Y)$. Your final answer should not have summations.

Solution: We condition on Y so we can use the nice property of geometric random variables that $\mathbb{P}(X > k) = (1 - p)^k$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X > Y) &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X > Y | Y = y) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = y) \\ &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (1 - p)^y \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda p} e^{\lambda p} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} (\lambda(1 - p))^y}{y!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda p} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda(1-p)} (\lambda(1-p))^y}{y!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda p} \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the last summation we observed that the sum could be interpreted as the sum of the probabilities for a $\text{Poisson}(\lambda(1 - p))$ random variable, which is equal to 1.

2 Vegas

On the planet Vegas, everyone carries a coin. Many people are honest and carry a fair coin (heads on one side and tails on the other), but a fraction p of them cheat and carry a trick coin with heads on both sides. You want to estimate p with the following experiment: you pick a random sample of n people and ask each one to flip his or her coin. Assume that each person is independently likely to carry a fair or a trick coin.

1. Given the results of your experiment, how should you estimate p ?
(Hint: Construct an (unbiased) estimator for p such that $E[\hat{p}] = p$.)
2. How many people do you need to ask to be 95% sure that your answer is off by at most 0.05?

Solution:

1. We want to construct an estimate \hat{p} such that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{p}] = p$. Then, if we have a large enough sample, we'd expect to get a good estimate of p . Let X_i be the indicator that the i th person's coin flips to a heads. What we observe is the fraction of people whose coin is heads. In other words, we measure $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. How can we use this observation to construct \hat{p} ?

First,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] = p \cdot 1 + (1-p) \cdot \frac{1}{2},$$

where the last equality follows from total probability. Solving for p , we find that

$$p = 2\mathbb{E}[X] - 1 = \mathbb{E}[2X - 1].$$

Thus, our estimator \hat{p} should be $2X - 1$.

2. We want to find n such that $P[|\hat{p} - p| \leq 0.05] > 0.95$. Another way to state this is that we want

$$P[|\hat{p} - p| > 0.05] \leq 0.05.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{p}] = p$ by construction, so we can immediately apply Chebyshev's inequality on \hat{p} . What we get is:

$$P[|\hat{p} - p| > 0.05] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[\hat{p}]}{0.05^2} \leq 0.05$$

So, we want n such that $\text{Var}[\hat{p}] \leq 0.05^3$.

$$\text{Var}[\hat{p}] = \text{Var}[2X - 1] = 4 \text{Var}[X] = \frac{4}{n^2} \text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{4}{n} \text{Var}[X_1].$$

But X_i is an indicator (Bernoulli variable), so its variance is bounded by $\frac{1}{4}$ (note that $p(1-p)$ is maximized at $p = \frac{1}{2}$ to yield a value of $\frac{1}{4}$). Therefore we have

$$\text{Var}[\hat{p}] \leq \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

So, we choose n such that $\frac{1}{n} \leq 0.05^3$, so $n \geq \frac{1}{0.05^3} = 8000$.

3 LLSE

We have two bags of balls. The fractions of red balls and blue balls in bag A are $2/3$ and $1/3$ respectively. The fractions of red balls and blue balls in bag B are $1/2$ and $1/2$ respectively. Someone gives you one of the bags (unmarked) uniformly at random. You then draw 6 balls from that same bag with replacement. Let X_i be the indicator random variable that ball i is red. Now, let us define $X = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} X_i$ and $Y = \sum_{4 \leq i \leq 6} X_i$. Find $L(Y | X)$. *Hint:* Recall that

$$L(Y | X) = \mathbb{E}(Y) + \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{Var}(X)} (X - \mathbb{E}(X)).$$

Also remember that covariance is bilinear.

Solution:

Note that although the indicator random variables are not independent, we can still apply linearity of expectation. By symmetry, we also know that each indicator follows the same distribution. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[Y] = 3 \cdot \mathbb{E}(X_1) = 3 \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = 3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{7}{4}. \\ \text{cov}(X, Y) &= \text{cov} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} X_i, \sum_{4 \leq j \leq 6} X_j \right) = 9 \cdot \text{cov}(X_1, X_4) \\ &= 9 \cdot (\mathbb{E}(X_1 X_4) - \mathbb{E}(X_1) \cdot \mathbb{E}(X_4)). \\ \mathbb{E}(X_1 X_4) - \mathbb{E}(X_1) \mathbb{E}(X_4) &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1, X_4 = 1) - \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1)^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] - \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{144}. \\ \text{Var}(X) &= \text{cov} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} X_i, \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} X_j \right) \\ &= 3 \cdot \text{Var}(X_1) + 6 \cdot \text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = 3(\mathbb{E}(X_1^2) - \mathbb{E}(X_1)^2) + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{144} \\ &= 3 \left[\frac{7}{12} - \left(\frac{7}{12} \right)^2 \right] + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{144} = \frac{111}{144}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$L(Y | X) = \frac{7}{4} + \frac{9}{111} \left(X - \frac{7}{4} \right) = \frac{3}{37} X + \frac{119}{74}.$$